# EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION ON WORKABILITY, MECHANICAL PROPERTIES & THERMAL EFFECT OF POLYMER FIBER REINFORCED CONCRETE (STYRENE BUTADIENE RUBBER (SBR), POLYPROPYLENE ROPE) USING BRICK AND NATURAL AGGREGATE

Muhammad Sohaib Khan<sup>\*1</sup>, Engr. Dr. Zaheer Ahmed<sup>2</sup>, Engr. Dr. Naveed Anjum<sup>3</sup>, Hassan Nisar<sup>4</sup>, Muhammad Zeeshan<sup>5</sup>, Muhammad Azhar<sup>6</sup>, Kamran Hassan<sup>7</sup>, Ikhtesham Ul Haq<sup>8</sup>, Arif Hussain<sup>9</sup>

<sup>\*1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Khawaja Fareed University of Engineering and Information Technology, Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan

<sup>\*1</sup>muhammadsohaibkhan681@gmail.com

#### DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15710511</u>

Abstract

#### Keywords

(Concrete, Brick Aggregate, Natural Aggregate, Styrene Butadiene Rubber, Polypropylene Rope Fiber, Workability, Mechanical Properties, Thermal Conductivity).

#### Article History

Received on 14 May 2025 Accepted on 14 June 2025 Published on 22 June 2025

Copyright @Author Corresponding Author: \* Muhammad Sohaib Khan

#### INTRODUCTION

Concrete remains the backbone of modern construction due to its high compressive strength, durability, and adaptability [1]. However, the increasing demand for sustainable and efficient construction materials has led researchers to explore alternative aggregates, polymers, and fiber reinforcements [2]. Conventional concrete primarily relies on natural aggregates, but their extraction poses environmental concerns, such as resource

This study investigates the workability, mechanical properties, and thermal effects of polymer fiber-reinforced M20-grade concrete with varying aggregate compositions The experimental program evaluates four concrete mixes: (1) Control mix with 100% natural aggregate (without polymer and fiber), (2) 100% natural aggregate (with polymer and fiber), (3) 50% natural + 50% brick aggregate with polymer and fiber, (4) 100% brick aggregate with polymer and fiber, and assessment of thermal conductivity effect for each mix. A fixed 10% polymer ratio was maintained, while the polypropylene rope fiber content varied from 0% to 1%. The fresh concrete was evaluated for workability using the slump test, while hardened properties were assessed through compressive strength, split tensile strength, and flexural strength tests at 7 and 28 days also analyzing thermal conductivity and insulation performance. Results indicated that increasing fiber content reduced workability but improved mechanical properties up to an optimum fiber dosage. Incorporating brick aggregates enhanced thermal insulation properties but led to a minor reduction in mechanical strength. This study highlights the feasibility of polymer fiber-reinforced concrete with hybrid aggregates for sustainable and durable construction applications.

> depletion and habitat destruction [3]. To address these challenges, the utilization of recycled materials, such as brick aggregates, has emerged as a viable solution. Brick aggregates, derived from demolished structures, offer an eco-friendly substitute with acceptable structural properties [4]. Furthermore, fiber reinforcement, particularly polypropylene rope fibers, has been incorporated into concrete to enhance its tensile and flexural strength [5].

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

Polypropylene fibers prevent crack propagation, improving structural integrity and impact resistance [6]. This study aims to comprehensively analyze the effects of SBR polymer and polypropylene rope fibers in M20- grade concrete with varying aggregate compositions. By evaluating workability, mechanical performance, and thermal conductivity, this research contributes to the development of enhanced concrete materials suitable for sustainable construction practices. The findings will provide insights into optimizing polymer-fiber reinforced concrete for improved structural performance and energy efficiency.

#### Statement of the Problem

Concrete is the most widely used construction material due to its high strength and durability. However, conventional concrete primarily depends on natural aggregates, whose excessive extraction leads to environmental degradation, resource depletion, and increased carbon footprint. To promote sustainable construction, the integration of recycled materials, such as brick aggregates, is being explored as an alternative to natural aggregates. However, brick aggregate concrete exhibits higher water absorption and lower strength compared to conventional concrete, necessitating modifications to enhance its performance.

Additionally, concrete is inherently brittle and susceptible to cracking under tensile stresses. To overcome this limitation, fiber reinforcement has been introduced to improve its mechanical properties. Among the available fibers, polypropylene rope fibers offer significant advantages in enhancing tensile and flexural strength while preventing crack propagation. Furthermore, the incorporation of polymers, such as Styrene Butadiene Rubber (SBR), has been found to improve the workability, durability, and adhesion of concrete. Despite the growing interest in polymer-fiber reinforced concrete, limited studies have systematically analyzed the combined effects of SBR polymer and polypropylene rope fibers on the workability, mechanical properties, and thermal behavior of concrete using different aggregate compositions. The influence of these additives on the strength, durability, and thermal conductivity of concrete needs further investigation

to optimize its structural performance for sustainable applications. This study aims to bridge this knowledge gap by conducting an experimental investigation on the workability, mechanical performance, and thermal effects of SBR and polypropylene rope fiber-reinforced concrete using natural and brick aggregates. The research will provide insights into the feasibility of incorporating polymer-fiber reinforcement in concrete, particularly for applications where improved mechanical strength and thermal insulation properties are required.

#### Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the effects of Styrene Butadiene Rubber (SBR) polymer and polypropylene rope fibers on the workability, mechanical properties, and thermal performance of concrete using different aggregate compositions. The specific objectives of this research are:

To assess the workability of polymer- fiber reinforced concrete incorporating SBR and polypropylene rope fibers with varying proportions of natural and brick aggregates.

To evaluate the mechanical properties of the modified concrete, including compressive strength, tensile strength, and flexural strength, to determine the impact of fiber and polymer additions.

To investigate the thermal performance of different concrete mix designs by analyzing thermal conductivity and insulation properties, particularly when incorporating brick aggregates.

To compare the performance of natural aggregate concrete and brick aggregate concrete in combination with SBR polymer and polypropylene rope fibers, identifying the most suitable mix for improved strength and durability.

To analyze the influence of fiber content variation (0% to 1%) on the overall behavior of concrete and determine the optimal fiber dosage for structural applications.

To contribute to sustainable construction practices by promoting the use of recycled aggregates and polymerfiber reinforcement as a means of enhancing concrete performance while reducing environmental impact. This study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the effects of polymer and fiber modifications on concrete and offer practical recommendations for optimizing its use in construction application Materials and Methods

#### **Previous Studies**

Several researchers have investigated the use of alternative aggregates, polymers, and fiber reinforcements to enhance the properties of concrete. The integration of recycled aggregates, such as brick aggregates, has gained attention as a sustainable alternative to natural aggregates. However, studies indicate that brick aggregates exhibit higher water absorption and lower strength compared to natural aggregates, necessitating modifications to improve their performance.

#### Use of Brick Aggregates in Concrete

Researchers have explored the feasibility of brick aggregates as a replacement for natural aggregates in concrete. Studies have shown that while brick aggregates can be effectively used in structural applications, they tend to reduce the compressive strength of concrete due to their porous nature. However, appropriate mix design adjustments, such as optimizing the water-cement ratio and incorporating polymer additives, can improve the overall strength and durability of brick aggregate concrete.

#### Effect of SBR Polymer in Concrete

The incorporation of Styrene Butadiene Rubber (SBR) polymer has been widely studied for its ability to enhance workability, adhesion, and durability in concrete. Research indicates that SBR improves the bonding properties between cement paste and aggregates, leading to better cohesion and reduced permeability. Additionally, SBR-modified concrete demonstrates improved flexural strength and crack resistance, making it suitable for structural applications requiring enhanced durability. Influence of Polypropylene Fibers in ConcreteStudies have shown that polypropylene fibers significantly improve the tensile and flexural strength of concrete by preventing crack propagation. The addition of fibers enhances the ductility and impact resistance of concrete, reducing its brittleness under tensile stresses. Furthermore, research suggests that the performance of fiber-reinforced concrete depends on factors such as fiber content, aspect ratio, and dispersion within the matrix.

#### Combined Effects of Polymer and Fiber Reinforcement

Limited studies have examined the combined effects of SBR polymer and polypropylene fibers in concrete. However, existing research suggests that using both polymer and fiber reinforcements enhances mechanical performance and durability. The polymer improves bonding and cohesion, while the fibers contribute to crack control and tensile strength.

# Thermal Performance of Concrete with Alternative Aggregates and Fibers

The thermal properties of concrete are influenced by its composition and porosity. Research indicates that brick aggregates have better thermal insulation compared to natural aggregates due to their porous structure. The addition of fibers and polymers may further modify the thermal conductivity of concrete, making it suitable for applications requiring better heat resistance and energy efficiency.

#### Research Gap

Despite significant studies on brick aggregate concrete, SBR-modified concrete, and fiberreinforced concrete, very few studies have comprehensively analyzed the combined effects of SBR polymer and polypropylene rope fibers on the workability, mechanical performance, and thermal properties of concrete. This study aims to bridge this gap by conducting an experimental investigation on the performance of polymer-fiber reinforced concrete using both natural and brick aggregates.

This research will contribute to the development of sustainable and high- performance concrete for construction applications, particularly where ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

improved strength, durability, and thermal insulation are required.

The materials utilized in this study include Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) 43 grade, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, coarse brick aggregate, Styrene-Butadiene Rubber (SBR), and Polypropylene Rope Fiber, all conforming to ASTM standards. The physical and mechanical properties of these materials were assessed to ensure their suitability for concrete production. OPC 43 grade cement was used, with a specific gravity of **3.12** (ASTM C188), ensuring appropriate density. The fineness was 5% (ASTM C430), indicating adequate particle size distribution. Standard consistency was 27% (ASTM C187), ensuring optimal water demand. Initial and final setting times were **128 minutes** and **317 minutes**, respectively, meeting ASTM C191 requirements.



#### Table.1 Cement Test Results for OPC 43 Grade Standard (ASTM C150)

| Test Parameter            | Test Standard (ASTM) | Obtained Value  | Typical Range |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Specific Gravity          | ASTM C188            | 3.12            | 3.10 - 3.15   |
| Fineness %                | ASTM C430            | 5%              | ≤ 10%         |
| Standard Consistency      | ASTM C187            | 27%             | 26-33%        |
| Initial Setting Time      | ASTM C191            | 128 minutes     | ≥ 45 minutes  |
| <b>Final Setting Time</b> | ASTM C191            | 317 minutes     | ≤ 375 minutes |
|                           |                      | 1 (ODC (2 C 1)) |               |

#### Figure.1 Cement Test Results (OPC 43 Grade)

FINE AGGREGATE (Sand) Fine aggregate exhibited a specific gravity of **2.66** (ASTM C128) and a fineness modulus of **2.74** (ASTM C136), confirming well-graded particle distribution. Water absorption was measured at **1.4%** (ASTM C128), ensuring minimal porosity and better durability.

| Ta | ble.2 | Fine | Aggregate | Test | Results | Standard | (ASTM ) | C33) |
|----|-------|------|-----------|------|---------|----------|---------|------|
|    |       |      |           |      |         |          |         |      |

| Test Parameter           | Test Standard<br>(ASTM) | Obtained Value | Typical Range |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Specific Gravity         | ASTM C128               | 2.66           | 2.6 - 2.9     |
| Fineness Modulus<br>(FM) | ASTM C136               | 2.74           | 2.0 - 3.0     |
| Water Absorption<br>(%)  | ASTM C128               | 1.4%           | <b>≤</b> 3%   |

https://sesjournal.com

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X





#### OARSE AGGREGATE (Natural)

The specific gravity of coarse aggregate was 2.66 (ASTM C127), with a water absorption rate of 1.02% (ASTM C127), indicating low porosity. The flakiness and elongation indices were 16% and 26%, respectively (ASTM D4791), confirming good

particle shape. The impact, crushing, and abrasion values were 9.1%, 19.50%, and 21.26% (ASTM D5874,

ASTM C131), ensuring adequate toughness and strength.

#### Table.3 Coarse Natural Aggregate Test Results Standard (ASTM C33)

| Test                            | Test Standard | Obtained | Typical Range |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|
| Parameter                       | (ASTM)        | Value    |               |
| Specific Gravity                | ASTM C127     | 2.66     | 2.6 - 2.9     |
| Water Absorption (%)            | ASTM C127     | 1.02%    | ≤2%           |
| Flakiness Index (%)             | ASTM D4791    | 16%      | ≤ 25%         |
| Elongation Index (%)            | ASTM D4791    | 26%      | <i>≤</i> 35%  |
| Aggregate Impact Value<br>(%)   | ASTM D5874    | 9.1%     | ≤ 20%         |
| Aggregate Crushing Value<br>(%) | ASTM C131     | 19.50%   | ≤ 25%         |
| Aggregate Abrasion Value        | ASTM C131     | 21.26%   | ≤ 30%         |



Fig.3 Coarse Natural Aggregate Test Results

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

#### COARSE AGGREGATE (Brick)

Brick aggregate had a specific gravity of **2.25** (ASTM C127) and a water absorption rate of **9.5%**, indicating higher porosity. Flakiness and elongation indices were **20%** and **18%** (ASTM D4791), while impact,

crushing, and abrasion values were **6.8**%, **23.5**%, and **33.3**% (ASTMD5874, ASTM C131), demonstrating satisfactory strength characteristics.

| Test Parameter                  | Test Standard<br>(ASTM)                              | Obtained Value                              | Typical Range |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---------------|
| Specific Gravity                | ASTM C127  | 2.25  | 2.2 - 2.5     |
| Water Absorption (%)            | ASTM C127  | 9.5%  | ≤ 20%         |
| Flakiness Index (%)             | ASTM D4791   | 20%   | ≤ 25%         |
| Elongation Index (%)            | ASTM D4791   | 18%   | ≤ 35%         |
| Aggregate Impact<br>Value (%)   | ASTM D5874   | 6.8%  | ≤ 30%         |
| Aggregate Crushing<br>Value (%) | ASTM C131  | 23.5%                                       | <u>≤</u> 30%  |
| Aggregate Abrasion<br>Value (%) | ASTM C131  | 33.3%                                       | ≤ 35%         |
|                                 | stained Value<br>n Typical Range<br>ax Typical Range | hearene hare the server of the server bears | Nurela        |

#### Table.4 Coarse Brick Aggregate Test Results Standard (ASTM C33)

Figure.4 Coarse Brick Aggregate Test Results

#### (SBR)

SBR polymer was used as an additive, with a solid content of **46**% (ASTM D1417) and a level was **9.5** 

(ASTM E70), viscosity **250 cP** (ASTM D2196), and bond strength **3.8 MPa** (ASTM C882), indicating good adhesion properties.

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

| Test Parameter         | Test Standard<br>(ASTM) | Obtained Value     | Typical<br>Range |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
|                        |                         |                    | Milky white to   |
| Appearance             | Visual Inspection       | Milky white liquid | light yellow     |
| Solid Content (%) by   |                         |                    |                  |
| Gravimetric Method     | ASTM D1417              | 46%                | 45 - 55%         |
| pH Level               | ASTM E70                | 9.5                | 7 - 11           |
| Density (g/cm3)        | ASTM D1475              |                    |                  |
|                        |                         | 1.01               | 1.00 - 1.02      |
| Viscosity (cP at 25°C) | ASTM D2196              | 250 сР             | 200 - 300 cP     |
| Bond Strength (MPa)    | ASTM C882               | 3.8 MPa            | ≥ 3 MPa          |

### Table 5 Physical and Chemical Properties of SBR Polymer Standard (ASTM C1059)



**Figure.5 SBR Polymer Properties** 

Polypropylene rope fiber exhibited a tensile strength of 440 MPa (ASTM D2256) and a density of 0.90 g/cm3 (ASTM D792). Water D570). The fiber length and diameter were 38.1 mm and 0.5 mm, respectively, ensuring effective reinforcement in concrete.

#### Table.6 Physical and Mechanical Properties of Polypropylene Rope Fiber Standard (ASTM C1116

| Test                   | Test Standard | Obtained   | Typical             |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| Parameter              | (ASTM)        | Value      | Range               |
| Tensile Strength (MPa) |               |            |                     |
|                        | ASTM D2256    | 440MPa     | 350 – 800<br>MPa    |
| Density (g/cm3)        | ASTM D792     | 0.90 g/cm3 | 0.89 -0.93<br>g/cm3 |
| Water Absorption (%    |               |            |                     |
| by weight)             | ASTM D570     | 0.01%      | ≤ 0.1%              |
| Fiber Length           | No Specific   | 38.1mm     | 30 - 40 mm          |
| (mm)                   | ASTM          |            |                     |
| Fiber Diameter         | No Specific   | 0.5mm      | 0.4 - 0.6           |
| (mm)                   | ASTM          |            | mm                  |

### Volume 3, Issue 6, 2025

# Spectrum of Engineering Sciences

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X



Figure.6 Physical and Mechanical Properties of Polypropylene Rope Fiber

#### 5.7 WATER

Water quality plays a crucial role in determining the workability, strength, and durability of concrete. ASTM C1602 sets specific limits to ensure that mixing water does not adversely affect concrete performance. The pH level of 7.1 falls within the recommended range of 6.0 -8.5, allowing for proper cement hydration. The total dissolved solids (TDS) content is 1240 mg/L, which is well below the 2000 mg/L limit,

337

indicating minimal impurities. Additionally, the chloride concentration of **460 mg/L** remains within the **500 mg/L** threshold for reinforced concrete, reducing the risk of corrosion. Based on these parameters, the water available in **Rahim Yar Khan meets ASTM C1602 standards**, making it **suitable for concrete mixing** while ensuring long-term structural durability.

(10T)

| 1 d 0 l  |              | aler rest Results Stalluaru (A    | no1m C1002) |                                   |
|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| Test Parameter   |              | Obtained Va                       | alue        | Typical Range                     |
| Chloride Content mg/L  |              | 460                               |             | <500                              |
| Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)<br>mg/L                                       |              | 1240                              |             | ≤ 2000                            |
| pH Level   |              | 7.1                               |             | 6.0-8.5                           |
| 2000 -<br>1750 -<br>1500 -<br>1250 -<br>1250 -<br>1000 -<br>750 -<br>500 - |              | 2000.0                            | ASTM C      | ed Values<br>C1602 Limit<br>500.0 |
| 250  |              |                                   |             |                                   |
| 0 7.3<br>p   | 8<br>H Level | TDS (mg/L)<br>Figure.7 Water Test | Chloride    | (mg/L)                            |

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X



Figure.8 Materials Test durability, and thermal performance. In this study, Styrene Butadiene Rubber (SBR)

#### 5.8: Mix design proportion

Concrete mix design is a crucial process that determines the appropriate proportions of cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, water, and admixtures to achieve the desired strength, polymer (10%) and Polypropylene (PP) rope fiber (0–1%) are incorporated into M20 grade concrete to enhance mechanical properties and thermal resistance. The mix variations focus on different aggregate compositions while following ASTM standard guidelines as shown in table 8.The control mix consists of 100% natural aggregates with a proportion of 1:1.65:3.08 (Cement: Fine Aggregate: Coarse Aggregate) and a water-cement ratio of 0.50. This mix provides standard strength and durability, making it suitable for conventional construction. The second variation introduces 10% SBR polymer and 0-1% PP rope fiber while maintaining the natural aggregate composition. SBR polymer enhances bond strength, durability, and resistance to chemical attack, while PP rope fiber improves tensile strength and crack resistance. To evaluate the effect of brick aggregates, the third mix replaces 50% of the natural aggregate with brick aggregate, while cement and fine aggregate proportions remain unchanged. Due to the higher absorption capacity of brick aggregate, the water-cement ratio is increased to 0.56 to ensure proper hydration and strength development. This modification results in enhanced thermal insulation and sustainable concrete production, although the compressive strength is slightly lower than the 100% natural aggregate mix. The final mix completely replaces natural aggregate with 100% brick aggregate, requiring an even higher water- cement ratio of 0.60 to maintain hydration and strength. This mix demonstrates improved thermal insulation and a lightweight structure, making it suitable for heat-

resistant construction. However, the strength is reduced compared to natural aggregate-based mixes due to the lower density and weaker bonding properties of brick aggregates.

Overall, natural aggregate-based mixes provide higher strength, while brick aggregate-based mixes offer better thermal insulation and environmental sustainability. The addition of SBR polymer and PP rope fiber significantly enhances durability, crack resistance, and bond strength, making polymer fiber-reinforced concrete an effective material for applications requiring improved structural integrity and thermal efficiency.

|                              | ·     | eponeton tor 2 | and the composition |      |         |           |
|------------------------------|-------|----------------|---------------------|------|---------|-----------|
| Mix Type                     | Cemen | Fine           | Coarse              | Wate | Polymer | Fiber (PP |
|                              | t     | Aggregate      | Aggregate           | r    | (SBR)   | Rope)     |
| 100% Natural Aggregate       | 1     | 1.65           | 3.08                | 0.50 | 0%      | 0%        |
| 100% Natural Aggregate (With | 1     | 1.65           | 3.08                | 0.50 | 10%     | 0-1%      |
| Polymer & Fiber)             |       |                |                     |      |         |           |
| 50% Natural + 50% Brick      | 1     | 1.65           | 3.08                | 0.56 | 10%     | 0-1%      |
| Aggregate (With Polymer &    |       |                |                     |      |         |           |
| Fiber)                       |       |                |                     |      |         |           |
| 100% Brick Aggregate (With   | 1     | 1.63           | 3.08                | 0.60 | 10%     | 0-1%      |
| Polymer & Fiber)             |       |                |                     |      |         |           |

#### Table.8 Mix Design Proportion for Different Compositions

#### 5.9 Specimen Preparation

| Specimen Type     | Cement<br>(kg) | Fine<br>Aggregate<br>(kg) | Coarse<br>Aggregate<br>(100% NA)<br>(kg) | Water<br>(kg) | No. of<br>Specimens<br>for 7 Days | No. of<br>Specimens<br>for 28 Days | Total<br>Specimens |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Cube (150×150×150 |                |                           |  |               |                                   |                                    |                    |
| mm)               | 13.44          | 22.2                      | 41.36                                    | 7.52          | 3                                 | 3                                  | 6                  |
| Cylinder (150×300 |                |                           |  |               |                                   |                                    |                    |
| mm)               | 19.34          | 31.92                     | 59.5                                     | 10.84         | 3                                 | 3                                  | 6                  |
| Beam (150×150×600 |                |                           |  |               |                                   |                                    |                    |
| mm)               | 32.02          | 52.94                     | 98.68                                    | 18.0          | 3                                 | 3                                  | 6                  |
| Total             |                |                           |  |               | 9                                 | 9                                  | 18                 |

Table.9 Specimen for M20 Grade Concrete Using 100% Natural Aggregates

A total of 18 concrete specimens were prepared to assess compressive, tensile, and flexural strength at 7- and 28-days following ASTM standards. The mix proportions for each specimen type were carefully calculated. For cube specimens (150×150×150 mm), 13.44 kg of cement, 22.2 kg

of fine aggregate, 41.36 kg of 100% natural aggregate, and 7.52 kg of water were used. Cylindrical specimens (150×300 mm) contained 19.34 kg of cement, 31.92 kg of fine aggregate, 59.5 kg of coarse aggregate, and 10.84 kg of water. Beam specimens (150×150×600 mm) were cast using 32.02 kg of cement, 52.94 kg of fine aggregate, 98.68 kg of coarse aggregate, and 18.0

kg of water. Each specimen type had 3 samples tested at 7 days and 3 at 28 days, totaling 6 specimens per type. Proper curing (ASTM C192/C192M) ensured strength development, with 7-day tests indicating early strength gain and 28-day tests determining final hardened properties.

| Specimen<br>Type             | No. of<br>Specimen<br>s per<br>Fiber % | Total for Each<br>Fiber %<br>(0.25%,<br>0.75%,<br>1%) | Total<br>Specim<br>ens | Cement<br>(kg) | Fine<br>Aggrega<br>te (kg) | Coarse<br>Aggregat<br>e (100%<br>NA) (kg) | Water<br>(kg) | SBR<br>(kg)<br>(10%<br>) | Fiber<br>(kg)        |
|------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Cube<br>(150×150×15<br>0 mm) | 3 (7 days)<br>+ 3 (28<br>days)         | 6 × 3 =<br>18   | 18                     | 6.72           | 11.10                      | 20.68                                     | 3.76          | 0.68                     | 0.017<br>(0.25%<br>) |
|                              |  |   |                        | 6.72           | 11.10                      | 20.68                                     | 3.76          | 0.68                     | 0.051<br>(0.75%<br>) |
|                              |  |   |                        | 6.72           | 11.10                      | 20.68                                     | 3.76          | 0.68                     | 0.068<br>(1.00%<br>) |
| Cylinder<br>(150×300<br>mm)  | 3 (7 days)<br>+ 3 (28<br>days)         | 6 × 3 =<br>18   | 18                     | 9.67           | 15.96                      | 29.75                                     | 5.42          | 0.97                     | 0.025<br>(0.25%<br>) |
|                              |  |   |                        | 9.67           | 15.96                      | 29.75                                     | 5.42          | 0.97                     | 0.073<br>(0.75%<br>) |
|                              |  |   |                        | 9.67           | 15.96                      | 29.75                                     | 5.42          | 0.97                     | 0.097<br>(1.00%<br>) |
| Beam<br>(150×150×60<br>0 mm) | 3 (7 days)<br>+ 3 (28<br>days)         | 6 × 3 =<br>18   | 18                     | 16.03          | 26.47                      | 49.34                                     | 9.00          | 1.61                     | 0.041<br>(0.25%<br>) |
|                              |  |   |                        | 16.03          | 26.47                      | 49.34                                     | 9.00          | 1.61                     | 0.122<br>(0.75%<br>) |

# Table. 10 Specimen for M20 Grade Concrete Using 100% Natural Aggregates, 10% SBR, and Varying Polypropylene Fiber Content

A total of 54 concrete specimens were prepared to evaluate the effect of varying polypropylene rope fiber content (0.25%, 0.75%, and 1%) on compressive, tensile, and flexural strength at 7 and 28 days, following ASTM standards. Each fiber percentage was tested on cube, cylinder, and beam specimens, with 3 specimens for 7 days and 3 for 28 days, leading to 18 specimens per type. The material proportions were adjusted accordingly, with SBR polymer fixed at 10%. The required quantities of cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, water, and fiber were carefully calculated for each specimen type, ensuring proper mix consistency and uniformity.

Curing was performed as per ASTM C192/C192M, allowing for accurate strength evaluation and comparison across different fiber percentages.

|           |          | 16.03     | 26.47 | 49.34  | 9.00     | 1.61     | 0.161<br>(1.00% |      |    |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|--------|----------|----------|-----------------|------|----|
| Total     | 5        | 4         |       |        |          |          |                 |      |    |
| Specimens |          |           |       |        |          |          |                 |      |    |
| Needed    |          |           |       |        |          |          |                 |      |    |
|           |          |           |       |        |          |          |                 |      |    |
| Specime   | No. of   | Total for | Total | Cement | Fine     | Coarse   | Wate            | SBR  | Fi |
| n         |          |           |       |        |          |          | r               |      | e  |
| Type      | Specimen | Each      | Speci | (kg)   | Aggreg   | Aggregat | (kg)            | (kg) | (k |
|           | s per    | Fiber %   | ens   |        | ate (kg) | e (50%   |                 | (10  |    |
|           | -        |           |       |        | _        |          |                 | %    |    |
|           | Fiber %  | (0.25%,   |       |        |          | NA, 50%  |                 | )    |    |
|           |          | 0.75%,    |       |        |          | BA) (kg) |                 |      |    |
|           |          |           |       |        |          |          |                 |      |    |

Institute for Excellence in Education & Research

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

### Volume 3, Issue 6, 2025

| Cube       | 3 (7 days) | 6 × 3 = | 18 | 6.72  | 11.10 | 20.68 | 3.76 | 0.6<br>8 | 0.017 |
|------------|------------|---------|----|-------|-------|-------|------|----------|-------|
| (150×150×1 | + 3 (28    | 18      |    |       |       |       |      |          | (0.25 |
| 0 mm)      | days)      |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | %)    |
|            |            |         |    | 6.72  | 11.10 | 20.68 | 3.76 | 0.6      | 0.051 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | (0.75 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | %)    |
|            |            |         |    | 6.72  | 11.10 | 20.68 | 3.76 | 0.6      | 0.068 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | (1.00 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | %)    |
| Cylinder   | 3 (7 days) | 6 × 3 = | 18 | 9.67  | 15.96 | 29.75 | 5.42 | 0.9<br>7 | 0.025 |
| (150×300   | + 3 (28    | 18      |    |       |       |       |      | •        | (0.25 |
| mm)        | days)      |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | %)    |
|            |            |         |    | 9.67  | 15.96 | 29.75 | 5.42 | 0.9      | 0.073 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      | ·····    | (0.75 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | %)    |
|            |            |         |    | 9.67  | 15.96 | 29.75 | 5.42 | 0.9<br>7 | 0.097 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | (1.00 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | %)    |
| Beam       | 3 (7 days) | 6 × 3 = | 18 | 16.03 | 26.47 | 49.34 | 9.00 | 1.6<br>1 | 0.041 |
| (150×150×6 | + 3 (28    | 18      |    |       |       |       |      | -        | (0.25 |
| 0 mm)      | days)      |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | %)    |
|            |            |         |    | 16.03 | 26.47 | 49.34 | 9.00 | 1.6<br>1 | 0.122 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | (0.75 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | %)    |
|            |            |         |    | 16.03 | 26.47 | 49.34 | 9.00 | 1.6<br>1 | 0.161 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | (1.00 |
|            |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          | %)    |
| Total      | ,          | ÷       | 54 |       |       |       |      |          | ,     |
| Specimens  |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          |       |
| Needed     |            |         |    |       |       |       |      |          |       |

Table.11 Specimen for M20 Grade Concrete Using 50% Natural Aggregate & 50% Brick Aggregate with 10% SBR and Varying Polypropylene Fiber

A total of 54 concrete specimens were prepared to evaluate the effect of varying polypropylene rope fiber content (0.25%, 0.75%, and 1%) in M20 grade concrete with 50% natural aggregate (NA) and 50% brick aggregate (BA). Each fiber percentage was tested on cube, cylinder, and beam specimens, with 3 specimens for 7 days and 3 for 28 days, leading to 18 specimens per type. The material proportions were adjusted accordingly, with SBR polymer fixed at 10% and water-cement ratio modified (0.56) to account for brick aggregate absorption. The required quantities of cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, water, and fiber were carefully calculated for each specimen type. Curing was performed as per ASTM C192/C192M, ensuring consistency in strength evaluation.

| Fable.12 Specimen for M20 Grade Concrete Using 100% Brick Aggregate with 10% SBR and Varying Polypropylen | e |
|---|---|
| Fiber   |   |

| Specimen Type                | No. of<br>Specimen s<br>per Fiber % | Total for Each<br>Fiber %<br>(0.25%,<br>0.75%,<br>1%) | Total Sp<br>mens | eciCement (kg) | Fine Aggreg<br>ate (kg) | Coarse<br>Aggregat e<br>(50%<br>NA, 50% BA<br>(kg) | Water (kg)<br>) | SBR<br>(kg) (10%<br>) | Fiber (kg)<br>%      |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Cube<br>(150×150×15<br>0 mm) | 3 (7 days)<br>+ 3 (28<br>days)      | 6 × 3 = 18  | 18               | 6.72           | 11.10                   | 20.68  | 3.76            | 0.68                  | 0.017<br>(0.25<br>%) |
|                              |                                     |   |                  | 6.72           | 11.10                   | 20.68  | 3.76            | 0.68                  | 0.051<br>(0.75<br>%) |
|                              |                                     |   |                  | 6.72           | 11.10                   | 20.68  | 3.76            | 0.68                  | 0.068<br>(1.00<br>%) |
| Cylinder<br>(150×300<br>mm)  | 3 (7 days)<br>+ 3 (28<br>days)      | 6 × 3 = 18  | 18               | 9.67           | 15.96                   | 29.75  | 5.42            | 0.97                  | 0.025<br>(0.25<br>%) |
|                              |                                     |   |                  | 9.67           | 15.96                   | 29.75  | 5.42            | 0.97                  | 0.073<br>(0.75<br>%) |
|                              |                                     |   |                  | 9.67           | 15.96                   | 29.75  | 5.42            | 0.97                  | 0.097<br>(1.00<br>%) |
| Beam<br>(150×150×60<br>0 mm) | 3 (7 days)<br>+ 3 (28<br>days)      | 6 × 3 = 18  | 18               | 16.03          | 26.47                   | 49.34  | 9.00            | 1.61                  | 0.041<br>(0.25<br>%) |
|                              |                                     |   |                  | 16.03          | 26.47                   | 49.34  | 9.00            | 1.61                  | 0.122<br>(0.75<br>%) |
|                              |                                     |   |                  | 16.03          | 26.47                   | 49.34  | 9.00            | 1.61                  | 0.161<br>(1.00<br>%) |
| Total<br>Specimens           |                                     |   | 54               | ,              |                         |  |                 |                       |                      |

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

#### Needed

A total of 54 concrete specimens were cast to study the impact of polypropylene rope fiber (0.25%, 0.75%, and 1%) in M20 grade concrete with 50% natural aggregate (NA) and 50% brick aggregate (BA). The specimens included cubes, cylinders, and beams, with 3 tested at 7 days and 3 at 28 days for each fiber percentage, totaling 18 per specimen type. The mix proportions were adjusted for water absorption of brick aggregates, with SBR polymer fixed at 10% and a water-cement ratio of 0.56. Cement, fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, water, SBR, and fiber were carefully measured per ASTM C192/C192M standards to ensure consistency. Strength and durability tests were conducted to analyze fiber reinforcement effects.



Figure.9 Specimen Preparation

#### Results and Discussions Workability Results (Slump Test - ASTM C143)

Table.13 Slump for M20 Grade Concrete (Without Fibers & SBR) Using 100% Natural Aggregate

|                            | rubieris shamp for the office control of the office control of the for the date in the office and |                            |                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Mix Type                   | Slump Value (mm)  | Workability Classification | Slump Range<br>(mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M20 (Without Fibers & SBR) | 81 mm   | Medium Workability         | 50-100 mm           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The slump test measures the workability and consistency of fresh concrete. For M20 grade concrete without fibers and SBR polymer, using 100% natural aggregate, the slump value was recorded as 81 mm. According to workability classifications, a slump value between 50 mm and 100 mm falls under the

category of medium workability. This indicates that the concrete has a balanced consistency, making it suitable for manual placement, moderate reinforcement, and general construction applications. The mix retains good cohesion without excessive water content, ensuring adequate strength development and durability.

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

| Mix Type (with additives)                                 | Slump Value<br>(mm) | Workability<br>Classification | Slump Range<br>(mm) |  |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| M20 with 10% SBR & 0.25% Polypropylene<br>Fiber (100% NA) | 85 mm               | Medium to High                | 80 - 90 mm          |  |
| M20 with 10% SBR & 0.75% Polypropylene<br>Fiber (100% NA) | 79 mm               | Medium                        | 70 – 85 mm          |  |
| M20 with 10% SBR & 1.0% Polypropylene<br>Fiber (100% NA)  | 73 mm               | Medium                        | 65 - 80 mm          |  |

The slump test for M20 grade concrete with SBR and polypropylene fibers demonstrates how the addition of these materials affects workability. When 10% SBR and 0.25% polypropylene fiber were added, the concrete exhibited a slump of 85 mm, indicating medium to high workability, which ensures ease of placement and compaction. As the fiber content increased to 0.75%, the slump value

decreased to 79 mm, still within the medium workability range, as the fibers slightly restricted the flow of the mix. Further increasing the fiber content to 1.0% resulted in a slump of 73 mm, maintaining medium workability, but with reduced fluidity due to fiber interlocking. While SBR enhances workability, the gradual slump reduction with increasing fiber content highlights the balancing effect between improved cohesion and slight stiffening of the mix.

| Table.15 Slump for M20 Grade Concrete (With Fibe | rs & SBR) Using 50%Natural and 50% Brick Aggregate |
|--|--|

| Mix Type (with additives)   | Slump Value<br>(mm) | Workability Classification | Slump Range<br>(mm) |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| M20 with 10% SBR & 0.25%<br>Polypropylene Fiber (50% Brick + 50% Natural<br>Aggregates) | 86 mm               | Medium                     | 85 - 95 mm          |
| M20 with 10% SBR & 0.75%<br>Polypropylene Fiber (50% Brick + 50% Natural<br>Aggregates) | 82 mm               | Medium                     | 80 - 90 mm          |
| M20 with 10% SBR & 1.0%<br>Polypropylene Fiber (50% Brick + 50% Natural<br>Aggregates)  | 76 mm               | Medium                     | 75 - 85 mm          |

The slump test for M20 grade concrete with 50% brick and 50% natural aggregates, incorporating 10% SBR and varying polypropylene fiber content, indicates a gradual reduction in workability as fiber content increases. With 0.25% fiber, the slump measured 86 mm, placing it in the medium workability range (85-95 mm), and ensuring ease of placement. As fiber content increased to 0.75%, the slump decreased to 82 mm, still within the medium

range (80-90 mm), showing a slight reduction in fluidity due to fiber interlocking. At 1.0% fiber content, the slump further dropped to 76 mm, remaining in the medium category (75-85 mm), but with increased stiffness. The results highlight that while SBR improves workability, the higher water absorption of brick aggregates and increased fiber content contribute to a reduction in slump, affecting the overall consistency of the mix.

| Mix Type (with additives)  | Slump Value<br>(mm) | Workability<br>Classification | Slump Range<br>(mm) |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| M20 with 10% SBR & 0.25% Polypropylene<br>Fiber (100% Brick Aggregate) | 89 mm               | Medium-High                   | 85-95 mm            |
| M20 with 10% SBR & 0.75% Polypropylene<br>Fiber (100% Brick Aggregate) | 84 mm               | Medium                        | 80-90 mm            |
| M20 with 10% SBR & 1.0% Polypropylene Fiber<br>(100% Brick Aggregate)  | 78 mm               | Medium                        | 75-85 mm            |

Table. 16 Slump for M20 Grade Concrete (With Fibers & SBR) Using 100% Brick Aggregate



Comparison of Slump Values for Different M20 Concrete Mixes

The slump test for M20 grade concrete with 100% brick aggregate, incorporating 10% SBR and varying polypropylene fiber content, shows a progressive decrease in workability as fiber content increases. With 0.25% fiber, the slump was 89 mm, placing it in the medium-high workability range (85–95 mm), ensuring good flow and ease of placement. At 0.75% fiber content, the slump decreased to 84 mm, moving to the medium workability range (80– 90

mm), indicating a slight reduction in fluidity due to fiber dispersion. With 1.0% fiber, the slump further dropped to 78 mm, still classified as medium workability (75–85 mm), but with increased stiffness and reduced flow. These results suggest that SBR enhances workability, but the higher water absorption of brick aggregates and fiber interlocking effects contribute to a decrease in slump, making the mix stiffer as fiber content increases.

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X



Figure.10 Slump Test

Mechanical Properties Test Results Table.17 Results for M20 Grade Concrete (Without Fibers & SBR) Using 100% Natural Aggregate

| Test Type         | Specimen (mm)       | 7 Days (MPa) | 28 Days (MP | a) ASTM<br>Range (MPa) | ASTM Standard |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Compressive       | Cube (150×150×150)  |              |             |                        |               |
| Strength          |                     | 16.2         | 25.4        | 25 - 30                | ASTM C39      |
| Split Tensile     |                     |              |             |                        |               |
| Strength          | Cylinder (150×300 ) | 1.89         | 3.1         | 3.0 - 3.5              | ASTM C496     |
| Flexural Strength | Beam (150×150×600 ) |              |             |                        |               |
|                   |                     | 2.3          | 3.6         | 3.5 - 4.0              | ASTM C78      |

The mechanical properties of M20 grade concrete without fibers and SBR using 100% natural aggregate were evaluated through compressive, split tensile, and flexural strength tests. The compressive strength, measured using 150×150×150 mm cubes, was 16.2 MPa at 7 days and 25.4 MPa at 28 days, which falls within the ASTM C39 standard range of 25–30 MPa, indicating adequate strength development. The split tensile strength, tested on 150×300 mm cylinders, was 1.89 MPa at 7 days and 3.1 MPa at 28 days, aligning with the ASTM C496 standard range of 3.0–3.5 MPa, suggesting acceptable tensile capacity. The flexural strength, determined using 150×150×600 mm beams, recorded 2.3 MPa at 7 days and 3.6 MPa at 28 days, conforming to the ASTM C78 standard range of 3.5–4.0 MPa, showing sufficient resistance to bending. Overall, the results demonstrate that the M20 concrete mix meets the ASTM standards, ensuring its structural reliability for conventional construction applications.

| Test Type               | Specimen (mm)         | Fiber (%) | 7 Days (MPa) | 28 Days (MPa | a)ASTM<br>Range (MPa) | ASTM<br>Standard |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Compressive<br>Strength | Cube<br>(150×150×150) | 0.25      | 19.1         | 28.7         | 25-30                 | ASTM C39         |

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

Volume 3, Issue 6, 2025

| Cube<br>(150×150×150) | 0.75  | 19.7  | 29.8   | 25-30  |  |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Cube<br>(150×150×150) | 1.00  | 19.0  | 29.4   | 25-30  |  |
| Cylinder<br>(150×300) | 0.25  | 2.0   | 3.2  | 3.0-3.5  | ASTM C496  |
| Cylinder<br>(150×300) | 0.75  | 2.2   | 3.5  | 3.0-3.5  |  |
| Cylinder<br>(150×300) | 1.00  | 2.1   | 3.3  | 3.0-3.5  |  |
| Beam<br>(150×150×600) | 0.25  | 2.4   | 3.6  | 3.5-4.0  | ASTM C78   |
| Beam<br>(150×150×600) | 0.75  | 2.6   | 3.9  | 3.5-4.0  |  |
| Beam<br>(150×150×600) | 1.00  | 2.5   | 3.7  | 3.5-4.0  |  |
|                       | Cube   (150×150×150)   Cube   (150×150×150)   Cylinder   (150×300)   Cylinder   (150×300)   Cylinder   (150×150×600)   Beam   (150×150×600)   Beam   (150×150×600)   Beam   (150×150×600) | Cube<br>(150×150×150) 0.75   Cube<br>(150×150×150) 1.00   Cylinder<br>(150×300) 0.25   Cylinder<br>(150×300) 0.75   Cylinder<br>(150×300) 0.75   Cylinder<br>(150×300) 0.25   Cylinder<br>(150×300) 0.25   Cylinder<br>(150×150×600) 0.25   Beam<br>(150×150×600) 0.75   Beam<br>(150×150×600) 1.00 | Cube<br>(150×150×150)0.7519.7Cube<br>(150×150×150)1.0019.0Cylinder<br>(150×300)0.252.0Cylinder<br>(150×300)0.752.2Cylinder<br>(150×300)1.002.1Cylinder<br>(150×300)0.252.4Beam<br>(150×150×600)0.752.6Beam<br>(150×150×600)0.752.6Beam<br>(150×150×600)1.002.5 | Cube<br>$(150 \times 150 \times 150)$ 0.7519.729.8Cube<br>$(150 \times 150 \times 150)$ 1.0019.029.4Cylinder<br>$(150 \times 300)$ 0.252.03.2Cylinder<br>$(150 \times 300)$ 0.752.23.5Cylinder<br>$(150 \times 300)$ 0.0752.43.3Beam<br>$(150 \times 150 \times 600)$ 0.752.63.9Beam<br>$(150 \times 150 \times 600)$ 1.002.53.7 | Cube<br>$(150\times150\times150)$ 0.7519.729.825-30Cube<br>$(150\times150\times150)$ 1.0019.029.425-30Cylinder<br>$(150\times300)$ 0.252.03.23.0-3.5Cylinder<br>$(150\times300)$ 0.752.23.53.0-3.5Cylinder<br>$(150\times300)$ 0.0252.43.63.5-4.0Beam<br>$(150\times150\times600)$ 0.752.63.93.5-4.0Beam<br> |

The investigation includes compressive strength, split tensile strength, and flexural strength at 7 and 28 days, following ASTM standards. The results show that adding fibers enhances the overall strength of concrete, with the optimal performance observed at 0.75% fiber content. In compressive strength tests (ASTM C39), the highest value of 29.8 MPa at 28 days was achieved with 0.75% fiber, while a slight decrease was noted at 1.00% fiber, likely due to workability issues. The split tensile strength (ASTM C496) also improved with fiber addition, with a peak value of 3.5 MPa at 0.75%, remaining within the ASTM-specified range of 3.0–3.5 MPa. Similarly, in flexural strength tests (ASTM C78), concrete with 0.75% fiber exhibited the highest strength of 3.9 MPa at 28 days. However, at 1.00% fiber content, minor reductions in both tensile and flexural strength were observed, possibly due to fiber clustering affecting uniform stress distribution. The findings confirm that incorporating polypropylene rope fiber enhances the mechanical properties of concrete, with 0.75% being the most effective dosage. Additionally, all test results comply with ASTM standards, validating the suitability of fiber-reinforced concrete for improved durability and crack resistance.

|                           | Specimen (mm)         | Fiber (%) | 7 Days (MPa) | 28 Days (MPa) | ASTM        | ASTM      |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
|                           |                       |           |              |               | Range (MPa) | Standard  |
| Compressive Strength      | Cube<br>(150×150×150) | 0.25      | 15.3         | 25.3          | 25-30       | ASTM C39  |
|                           | Cube<br>(150×150×150) | 0.75      | 16.3         | 27.1          | 25-30       |           |
|                           | Cube<br>(150×150×150) | 1.00      | 15.0         | 26.0          | 25-30       |           |
| Split Tensile<br>Strength | Cylinder<br>(150×300) | 0.25      | 1.73         | 2.97          | 3.0-3.5     | ASTM C496 |

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

Volume 3, Issue 6, 2025

|                   | Cylinder<br>(150×300) | 0.75 | 1.98 | 3.18 | 3.0-3.5 |          |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------|---------|----------|
|                   | Cylinder<br>(150×300) | 1.00 | 1.88 | 3.05 | 3.0-3.5 |          |
| Flexural Strength | Beam<br>(150×150×600) | 0.25 | 2.0  | 3.4  | 3.5-4.0 | ASTM C78 |
|                   | Beam<br>(150×150×600) | 0.75 | 2.3  | 3.7  | 3.5-4.0 |          |
|                   | Beam<br>(150×150×600) | 1.00 | 2.1  | 3.6  | 3.5-4.0 |          |

The mechanical performance of M20 grade concrete with 10% SBR polymer and varying polypropylene fiber content (0.25%, 0.75%, and 1.00%) using a 50% natural aggregate and 50% brick aggregate blend was evaluated through compressive, split tensile, and flexural strength tests. The compressive strength, tested on 150×150×150 mm cubes, showed values of

15.0 MPa to 16.3 MPa at 7 days and 25.3 MPa to 27.1 MPa at 28 days, conforming to the ASTM C39 standard range of 25–30 MPa. The highest strength was obtained at 0.75% fiber content, indicating that moderate fiber addition enhances load-bearing capacity, while excessive fiber may slightly reduce strength due to poor workability. The split tensile strength, measured using 150×300 mm cylinders, exhibited improvements with fiber addition. At 7

days, values ranged from 1.73 MPa to 1.98 MPa, while at 28 days, they ranged from 2.97 MPa to 3.18 MPa, closely approaching the ASTM C496 standard range of 3.0-3.5 MPa. The best performance was recorded at 0.75% fiber content, showing better crack resistance. The flexural strength, evaluated on 150×150×600 mm beams, showed values of 2.0 MPa to 2.3 MPa at 7 days and 3.4 MPa to 3.7 MPa at 28 days. While the ASTM C78 standard range is 3.5-4.0 MPa, only the 0.75% fiber mix met this criterion at 28 days, confirming that moderate fiber addition enhances bending resistance. Overall, the results indicate that 50% brick aggregate replacement slightly reduces compressive strength compared to 100% natural aggregate, but the addition of SBR polymer and fibers helps compensate for the strength loss. The 0.75% fiber mix consistently performed the best, optimizing both strength and durability.

| Test Type                 | Specimen (mm)             | Fiber (%) | 7 Days (MPa) | 28 Days (MPa) | ASTM<br>Range (MPa) | ASTM<br>Standard |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Compressive<br>Strength   | Cube<br>(150 × 150 × 150) | 0.25      | 12.1         | 23.3          | 20-25               | ASTM C39         |
|                           | Cube<br>(150 × 150 × 150) | 0.75      | 14.1         | 24.4          | 20-25               |                  |
|                           | Cube<br>(150 × 150 × 150) | 1.00      | 13.2         | 23.4          | 20-25               |                  |
| Split Tensile<br>Strength | Cylinder<br>(150 × 300)   | 0.25      | 1.59         | 2.78          | 2.5-3.5             | ASTM C496        |
|                           | Cylinder<br>(150 × 300)   | 0.75      | 1.81         | 3.01          | 2.5-3.5             |                  |
|                           | Cylinder<br>(150 × 300)   | 1.00      | 1.69         | 2.88          | 2.5-3.5             |                  |
| Flexural Strength         | Beam<br>(150 × 150 × 600) | 0.25      | 1.8          | 3.0           | 3.0-4.0             | ASTM C78         |

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

### Volume 3, Issue 6, 2025

| Beam<br>(150 × 150 × 600) | 0.75 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 3.0-4.0 |  |
|---------------------------|------|-----|-----|---------|--|
| Beam<br>(150 × 150 × 600) | 1.00 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 3.0-4.0 |  |

Table.20 Results for M20 Grade Concrete (With Fibers & SBR) Using 100% Brick Aggregate The mechanical properties of M20 grade concrete with 10% SBR polymer and varying polypropylene fiber content (0.25%, 0.75%, and 1.00%) using 100% brick aggregate were evaluated through compressive, split tensile, and flexural strength tests. The compressive strength, measured using 150×150×150 mm cubes, showed values ranging from 12.1 MPa to 14.1 MPa at 7 days and 23.3 MPa to 24.4 MPa at 28 days, falling within the ASTM C39 standard range of 20-25 MPa. The highest strength was achieved with 0.75% fiber content, indicating that an optimal fiber percentage improves bonding within the mix. However, at 1.00% fiber content, strength slightly decreased, likely due to reduced workability and improper fiber dispersion. The split tensile strength, tested using 150×300 mm cylinders, increased with fiber addition. The results ranged from 1.59 MPa to 1.81 MPa at 7 days and 2.78 MPa to 3.01 MPa at 28 days, aligning well with the ASTM C496 standard range of 2.5-3.5 MPa. The 0.75% fiber mix demonstrated the best tensile performance, improving resistance to cracking and enhancing durability. The flexural strength, measured using 150×150×600 mm beams, ranged from 1.8 MPa to 2.2 MPa at 7 days and 3.0 MPa to 3.4 MPa at 28 days, meeting the ASTM C78 standard range of 3.0-4.0 MPa. The best performance was observed with 0.75% fiber content, confirming that fibers enhance resistance to bending stresses. Overall, the results indicate that 100% brick aggregate reduces compressive and flexural strength compared to natural aggregate due to its higher water absorption and weaker interlocking properties. However, SBR polymer and fiber reinforcement compensate for the loss in strength, improving performance, particularly at 0.75% fiber content, which consistently showed the best balance between workability and strength.

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X



Figure.11 Compressive Strength Comparison for Different Mixes



Institute for Excellence in Education & Research





Figure.13 Split Tensile Strength Comparison for Different Mixes

Figure.14 Split Tensile Strength



Figure.15 Flexural Strength Comparison for Different Mixes



Figure.16 Flexural Strength Test

| Thermal Effect Test Results                                   |
|---|
| Table.21 Results of Thermal Effect For Different Compositions |

| Mix Type | Fiber<br>% | Thermal Conductivity<br>(W/m·K) | ASTM<br>Standard | Range<br>(W∕m∙K) | Observations |
|----------|------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
|          |            |                                 |                  |                  |              |

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X

Volume 3, Issue 6, 2025

| M20 Control Mix (100%<br>Natural, No Polymer & Fiber) | 0%    | 1.88 | ASTM C518 | 1.8 - 2.2 | High heat transfer, poor insulation.                |
|---|-------|------|-----------|-----------|---|
| 100% Natural Aggregate<br>+ SBR & Fiber               | 0.75% | 1.77 | ASTM C518 | 1.7 - 2.0 | Slight insulation improvement.                      |
| 50% Natural + 50%<br>Brick Aggregate + SBR & Fiber    | 0.75% | 1.66 | ASTM C518 | 1.5 - 1.8 | Good balance between insulation & strength.         |
| 100% Brick Aggregate + SBR &<br>Fiber                 | 1.00% | 1.46 | ASTM C518 | 1.3 - 1.6 | Best thermal insulation, slightly reduced strength. |

Constructed Small Test Rooms of Size 3ft × 3ft

× 3ft. Walls, floor, and roof made entirely of the tested concrete mix for four rooms needed for each mix. Best fiber percentage is taken from each control mix (1) M20 Control Mix (100% Natural, No Polymer & Fiber) (2) 100% Natural Aggregate + SBR & Fiber (3) 50% Natural + 50% Brick Aggregate + SBR & Fiber (4) 100% Brick Aggregate + SBR & Fiber at 10% fixed SBR.

To evaluate the thermal conductivity of different M20 concrete mixes, four small test rooms  $(3ft \times 3ft \times 3ft)$  were constructed, each made entirely of a specific concrete mix. The thermal performance was assessed based on the best-performing fiber percentage from each mix design, following the ASTM C518 standard for thermal conductivity measurement.

The M20 Control Mix (100% Natural Aggregate, No Polymer & Fiber) had a thermal conductivity of 1.88 W/m·K, which falls within the ASTM range of 1.8 – 2.2 W/m·K. This indicates high heat transfer and poor insulation properties, meaning the room would heat up or cool down quickly, making it less energy efficient. Adding 10% SBR polymer and 0.75% polypropylene fiber to the 100% natural aggregate mix improved insulation, reducing thermal conductivity to 1.77 W/m·K. The presence of polymer helped reduce voids, while fibers enhanced air pockets, slightly lowering heat transfer. However, the insulation improvement was minor compared to other modified mixes.

The 50% natural + 50% brick aggregate mix with 10% SBR and 0.75% fiber showed a further decrease in thermal conductivity to 1.66 W/m·K, demonstrating a good balance between insulation and strength. The use of brick aggregate, which has a more porous structure, helped trap air and reduce heat flow while maintaining sufficient compressive strength.

The best thermal performance was observed in the 100% brick aggregate mix with 10% SBR and 1.00% fiber, achieving a thermal conductivity of 1.46 W/m·K, within the ASTM range of 1.3 – 1.6 W/m·K. The high porosity of brick aggregate significantly reduced heat transfer, making this mix the most thermally insulating. However, the increased porosity and water absorption of brick aggregate led to a **slight reduction in compressive strength** compared to other mixes.

ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X



Figure.17 Thermal Conductivity Comparison for Different Mixes



Figure.18 Thermal Conductivity Comparison for Different Mixes

#### Conclusion

This research investigated the workability, mechanical performance, and thermal conductivity of M20 grade concrete with various aggregate compositions, incorporating SBR polymer and polypropylene fibers to enhance properties. The study focused on six different mix variations, with natural aggregate (NA) and brick aggregate (BA) used in different proportions while maintaining a fixed 10% SBR polymer and varying polypropylene fiber percentages (0% to 1%). The results provide insights into the effectiveness of alternative aggregates in improving concrete properties.

#### Workability Analysis (Slump Test)

The control mix (100% NA, without polymer and fiber) exhibited a slump of 81 mm, classifying it as medium workability (50-100 mm range).Incorporating SBR polymer and fibers generally improved workability compared to the control mix due to SBR's plasticizing effect, but higher fiber content slightly reduced workability. Mixes containing brick aggregates showed higher slump values initially due to their porous nature, but water absorption led to slightly reduced workability at higher fiber contents. The 100% BA mix with SBR and fibers exhibited the highest slump (89 mm with 0.25% fiber), making it more workable compared to other modified mixes.

#### Compressive Strength

The control mix (100% NA, no polymer/fiber) achieved 25.4 MPa at 28 days, falling within the ASTM-specified range (25-30 MPa). Adding SBR polymer and fibers (100% NA mix) improved compressive strength, with the 0.75% fiber mix reaching 29.8 MPa, indicating optimal fiber dosage. The 50% NA + 50% BA mix with SBR and 0.75% fiber achieved 27.1 MPa, slightly lower than 100% NA mixes but still within ASTM limits. The 100% BA mix with SBR and 1.00% fiber had the lowest strength (23.4 MPa), as brick aggregates generally have lower strength than natural aggregates, though it remained acceptable (ASTM: 20-25 MPa).

#### Split Tensile Strength

The control mix achieved 3.1 MPa (28 days), meeting ASTM C496 standards (3.0-3.5 MPa). Adding SBR and fibers improved tensile strength across all mixes, with 100% NA + 0.75% fiber mix reaching 3.5 MPa, the highest value. The 50% NA + 50% BA mix had slightly lower tensile strength (3.18 MPa) but was still within the ASTM range. The 100% BA mix had the lowest tensile strength (2.88 MPa) due to the weaker interfacial bond of brick aggregates, though it remained acceptable.

#### Flexural Strength

The control mix achieved 3.6 MPa, within ASTM C78 standards (3.5-4.0 MPa). The highest flexural strength was observed in the 100% NA mix with 0.75% fiber (3.9 MPa), showing optimal fiber reinforcement. The 50% NA + 50% BA mix reached 3.7 MPa, slightly lower but still acceptable. The 100% BA mix had the lowest flexural strength (3.2 MPa) due to the reduced bonding strength of brick aggregates.

# **8.5 Thermal Conductivity Performance** with SBR polymer and fibers enhancing

A small test room (3ft × 3ft × 3ft) was constructed for each optimized mix to analyze heat insulation properties. The control mix (100% NA, no polymer/fiber) had the highest thermal conductivity (1.88 W/m·K), indicating poor insulation. Adding SBR polymer and fibers (100% NA mix, 0.75% fiber) slightly improved insulation (1.77 W/m·K). The 50% NA + 50% BA mix (0.75% fiber) had a thermal conductivity of 1.66 W/m·K, offering a balance between insulation and strength. The 100% BA mix with 1.00% fiber exhibited the lowest thermal conductivity (1.46 W/m·K), demonstrating the best insulation performance, though with a minor reduction in strength.

#### Final Conclusion and Recommendations

This study demonstrated that partial or full replacement of natural aggregates with brick aggregates is feasible for M20 grade concrete, performance. While 100% BA mixes provide superior thermal insulation, a 50% NA + 50% BA mix offers a balanced approach for applications requiring both structural strength and thermal efficiency. These findings contribute to the development of sustainable concrete solutions, reducing reliance on natural aggregates while ASTM-compliant maintaining mechanical properties. The use of SBR polymer and fibers significantly improved strength and workability in all modified mixes compared to the control mix. Mixes with brick aggregates provided better thermal insulation but had slightly lower strength than natural aggregate mixes. The optimal mix for strength and durability was the 100% NA mix with

0.75% fiber, while the best mix for insulation was the 100% BA mix with 1.00% fiber. The 50% NA+ 50% BA mix with 0.75% fiber was the best overall mix, balancing strength, workability, and insulation, making it suitable for energy-efficient construction.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- Neville, A. M. (2011). Properties of concrete (5<sup>th</sup> ed.). Pearson Education.
- Mehta, P. K., & Monteiro, P. J. M. (2014). Concrete: Microstructure, properties, and materials (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Akhtar, A., & Sarmah, A. K. (2018). Construction and demolition waste generation and properties of recycled aggregate concrete: A global perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *186*, 262-281. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.08</u> 5
- Poon, C. S., Kou, S. C., & Lam, L. (2007). Influence of recycled aggregate on concrete properties. *Construction and Building Materials*, 21(2), 285–293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildma t.2005.06.032
- Alhozaimy, A. M., Soroushian, P., & Mirza, F. (1996). Mechanical properties of polypropylene fiber-reinforced concrete and the effects of pozzolanic materials. Cement and Concrete Composites, 18(2), 85–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/0958-9465(95)00002-9
- Banthia, N., & Gupta, R. (2006). Influence of polypropylene fiber geometry on plastic shrinkage cracking in concrete. Cement and Concrete Research, 36(7), 1263– 1267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2 006.02.002
- EngineeringCivil.com. (n.d.). Effect on compressive strength of concrete by addition of polypropylene fiber in M20 grade of concrete. *EngineeringCivil.com*. Retrieved from https://www.engineeringcivil.com
- ACI 122R-14: Guide to thermal properties of concrete and masonry systems. ACI. https://www.concrete.org

- Academia.edu. (n.d.). Comparative study of M20 grade conventional concrete pavement with M20 grade polypropylene fiber reinforced concrete pavement with varying percentages of admixtures (quarry dust and fly ash). *Academia.edu.* Retrieved from <u>https://www.academia.edu</u>
- Expanded Shale, Clay, and Slate Institute. (n.d.). Properties of walls using lightweight concrete and lightweight concrete masonry units. *Expanded Shale, Clay, and Slate Institute.*
- International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science. (n.d.). Effect of low-density polypropylene fibre on mechanical properties of concrete. International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science.
- MDPI Materials. (n.d.). Thermal conductivity in concrete samples with natural and synthetic fibers. *MDPI Materials*. International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology. (n.d.). Comparative study of polymer fibre reinforced concrete with conventional concrete. International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology.
- StructuralGuide.com. (n.d.). Thermal properties of aggregates. StructuralGuide.com. International for Scientific Iournal Research & Development. (n.d.). Comparative study of M20 grade conventional concrete pavement with M20 grade polypropylene fiber reinforced pavement. & concrete Development.
- Karlsson Climate. (n.d.). Thermal properties of concrete with various aggregates. *Karlsson Climate*.
- Neliti.com. (n.d.). Structural properties of polypropylene fiber reinforced concrete. *Neliti.com*.
- Anveshana Publications. (n.d.). Strengthening properties study of M20, M30 grade cements mixed with polymer and steel fibers with coarse aggregate. *Anveshana Publications*.
- Research Gate. (n.d.). Influence of polypropylene fiber on strength characteristics of recycled aggregate concrete. *Research Gate*.

- International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology. (n.d.). Effect of polypropylene fibers on concrete properties. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology.
- Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. (n.d.). Mechanical properties of high-strength concrete reinforced with polypropylene fibers. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering.
- International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research. (n.d.). Performance of polypropylene fiber reinforced concrete. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research.
- International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology. (n.d.). Effect of polypropylene fiber on the mechanical properties of concrete. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology.
- International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology. (n.d.). Thermal conductivity of concrete: A review. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology.
- Journal. (n.d.). Influence of aggregate type on thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity of concrete. Construction and Building Materials Journal.
- Journal of Building Physics. (n.d.). Thermal properties of concrete with different types of aggregates. *Journal of Building Physics*.
- International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology. (n.d.). Effect of aggregate type on thermal conductivity of concrete. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology.
- Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. (n.d.). Thermal properties of lightweight aggregate concrete. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*.
- Construction and Building Materials Journal. (n.d.). Mechanical and thermal properties of concrete with recycled aggregates. *Construction and Building Materials Journal.*
- International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology. (n.d.). Effect of aggregate type on mechanical and thermal properties of concrete. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology.

- Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. (n.d.). Thermal conductivity of high-performance concrete. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering.
- Construction and Building Materials Journal. (n.d.).
  - Influence of aggregate type on thermal properties of concrete. Construction and Building Materials Journal.
- Journal of Building Physics. (n.d.). Thermal conductivity of concrete with different aggregate types. *Journal of Building Physics*.
- International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology. (n.d.). Effect of aggregate type on thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity of concrete. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology.
- Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. (n.d.). Thermal properties of concrete with lightweight aggregates. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering.
- Construction and Building Materials Journal. (n.d.). Mechanical properties of concrete with recycled brick aggregate. *Construction and* Building Materials Journal.
- International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology. (n.d.). Performance of concrete with recycled brick aggregate. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology.
- Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. (n.d.). Effect of brick aggregate on mechanical properties of concrete. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering.*
- Construction and Building Materials Journal. (n.d.). Thermal conductivity of concrete with recycled brick aggregate. *Construction and Building Materials Journal.*
- International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology. (n.d.). Influence of brick aggregate on thermal properties of concrete. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology.
- Journal of Building Physics. (n.d.). Mechanical and thermal properties of concrete with brick aggregate. *Journal of Building Physics*.

- Construction and Building Materials Journal. (n.d.). Effect of brick aggregate on thermal conductivity of concrete. *Construction and Building Materials Journal.*
- International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology. (n.d.). Performance of concrete with brick aggregate. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology*.
- Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. (n.d.). Thermal properties of concrete with brick aggregates. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*.
- Construction and Building Materials Journal. (n.d.). Influence of brick aggregate on mechanical and thermal properties of concrete. *Construction and Building Materials Journal.*
- Anveshana Publications. (n.d.). Effect of brick aggregate on mechanical properties and thermal conductivity of concrete. *Anveshana Publications*

